

128

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6622-2018

Date of decision-16.03.2018

Abhishek Thakur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. S.S.Deol, Advocate for
Mr. GPS Pathania, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to reconsider the claim of the petitioner as per the Policy/rehabilitation scheme dated 18.11.1993 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.4- Chief Engineer/SPKD, Irrigation Works, Punjab, Shahpurkandi Township, District Pathankot to consider and decide the representation dated 30.10.2017 (Annexure P-7).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4- Chief Engineer/SPKD, Irrigation Works, Punjab, Shahpurkandi Township,

District Pathankot to consider and decide the representation dated 30.10.2017 (Annexure P-7) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to him or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

16.03.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No