

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CWP-6566-2018

Date of Decision: May 25, 2018

Raj Bal

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is working as S.S. Master. He was appointed in the year 1998. His grievance is that his deemed date of appointment should be November 1991, the date with effect from which other persons from the same advertisement were selected and appointed. A legal notice dated 09.06.2017 (Annexure P-8) has been served in this regard but no action has been taken thereupon.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the direction is issued to respondents to pass a speaking order on the legal notice dated 09.06.2017 (Annexure P-8), with a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents. Let five copies of the complete paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day. In view of the nature of the order being passed, there is no need to seek written statement.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2,

to objectively consider the legal notice dated 09.06.2017 (Annexure P-8), keeping in view the various judicial pronouncements and the prevalent law on the subject by passing a speaking order thereupon, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

In case the petitioner is found entitled, necessary benefits shall be released in his favour within four weeks.

May 25, 2018

sonia arora

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No