

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6557-2018

Date of decision: 21.11.2018

Ranvir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms.Parveen Dutt, Advocate for
Mr.SK Panwar, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to remove the encroachment over the gram panchayat land by respondent No.3 and also take action against him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, she would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No. 2-Deputy Commissioner, Palwal to consider and decide the complaint/representation dated 9.8.2017 (Annexure P-2) expeditiously.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana accepts notices on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete set of paper book has been supplied to her in the Court.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-

Deputy Commissioner, Palwal to consider and decide the complaint/ representation dated 9.8.2017 (Annexure P-2) within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

21.11.2018
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No