

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6544 of 2018

Date of Decision: 16.03.2018

Ram Kala and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

The petitioners have served legal notice dated December 28, 2017 on the competent authority but no decision has been conveyed to the petitioners.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that his clients would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the competent authority amongst the respondents to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice (P-4) by keeping in view the judgment and orders passed in CWP No.27304 of 2013, *Suraj Mal and others v. State of Haryana and others*, decided on October 01, 2015 in the same department of Government a copy of which is appended as Annex P-5. While taking final decision the competent authority would also address to the Chief Secretary's instructions dated August 17, 2016 (P-6) and pass a speaking order and communicate the same to the petitioners immediately. Needless to say that in a case an adverse order is proposed to be passed then it would be necessary to hear the petitioners and pass a reasoned order. If the claim is found genuine and in terms of the ratio of the judgment in *Suraj Mal* case then relief can be granted without the

requirement of adhering to the principles of natural justice. Let the exercise be completed within two months from the date of receiving of the certified copy of this order.

With the above observations and directions, the petition stands disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

16.03.2018
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No