

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-6540-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-30.04.2018.

M/s Vikas Kumar Mahajan

.....Petitioner

Versus

The Regional/Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, petitioner has challenged the validity of the orders dated 19.07.2017 (Annexure P-9 and P-10) passed by the EPF Department under Section 14-B read with 8-B of the EPF Act.

Petitioner has alternative remedy before the then EPFAT now CGIT. Without exhausting the statutory remedy of appeal Annexure P-9 and P-10 cannot be entertained.

Accordingly, petition dismissed. Reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach CGIT, in accordance with law.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

April 30, 2018.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.