

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.9223 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.5.2018**

M/s Bansal Poles Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

UHBVNL and others

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr.Vishal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Varun Goyal, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 4.
Mr.Sumit Gupta, Advocate.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)

While passing the order on 2.5.2017, this Court had directed the respondents to take decision on the representation of the petitioner and communicate the same to it. It is submitted by the counsel for the respondents that representation has been decided and the excess amount has been refunded.

Counsel for the petitioner is not satisfied with the order passed on the representation.

Be that as it may, if the petitioner is not satisfied with the order having been passed on his representation, he has a separate cause of action for which he has to challenge the order passed in the representation.

Faced with these observations, counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the petition in order to challenge the order passed in the representation.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

May 23 2018
Meenu

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No