

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 6516 of 2018
Date of decision: 15.3.2018**

Sangeeta and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Ms. Bhavna Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Contends, that the matter in issue is squarely covered by an order and judgment dated 15.05.2013, rendered by this Court in **CWP No.11254 of 2010 (Neelam Rani Vs. The State of Haryana and others)**, therefore, the petitioners too are entitled to the same relief, and the petition be disposed of in the same terms.

Notice of motion, confined to respondents No.1 and 2 only, at this stage.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on their behalf. Copies furnished.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by learned State counsel, who rather fairly submits that the petition is required to be disposed of, in terms of the decision of this Court in **Neelam Rani (supra)**. However, he submits that the petitioners shall not be entitled to arrears of pay beyond a period of 38 months, preceding the date of institution of this petition, as ordered by this Court in certain other matters, subsequent to the decision of **Neelam Rani (supra)**.

CWP No. 6516 of 2018

-2-

In response, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners shall not claim arrears beyond the period of 38 months, and accordingly, confine their claim.

In conspectus of the above, the petition is disposed of, in terms of the decision of this Court in **Neelam Rani (supra)**. However, the petitioners shall be entitled to arrears of pay only for a period of 38 months, preceding the date of filing of this petition.

15.3.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO