

**149 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6504 of 2018
DATE OF DECISION:15.03.2018

Yogi Raj Ex. Sarpanch

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner has filed the present writ petition being aggrieved by the letter dated 28.02.2018 (Annexure P-11) issued by respondent No.3 being violative of law and against the principles of natural justice.

A perusal of impugned letter dated 28.02.2018 shows that even a single word has not been mentioned as to whether inquiry has been initiated or not. It is simply a communication which has been sent by Additional Deputy Commissioner(D), Gurdaspur to the Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Dina Nagar with reference to office letter No.6/30/2014-Gurdaspur-S/11186 dated 14.12.2017 of Rural Development and Panchayat Department to conduct inquiry but nowhere it has been mentioned that inquiry has been initiated.

Learned counsel has relied upon one letter sent by a person namely Ashok Chaudhry on 10.06.2014 with the apprehension that some

inquiry is being conducted and petitioner may be harassed whereas an inquiry has been conducted earlier also. Only on the basis of apprehension, the present petition has been filed. It cannot be said that any inquiry has been initiated. There is nothing on record to co-relate with the allegations made earlier against the petitioner upon which inquiry was initiated, therefore, no direction can be issued.

However, the present petition is dismissed as pre mature. However, in case any action is to be taken by the respondents with regard to the same allegations which has already been inquired into then proper notice be issued to the petitioner well in advance.

15.03.2018
rimpal

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
Whether reportable:	Yes/No