

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 6485 of 2018
Date of decision: 15.03.2018**

Kailash Chand

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Devender Arya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner is employed as Conductor with the respondent-department. Pursuant to an order dated 27.1.2017, he was transferred from Narnual to Faridabad, and in compliance thereof, he had since joined at his new place of posting on 8.2.2017 (Annexure P-1). However, vide another order dated 13.7.2017 (Annexure P-2), he was re-transferred to Narnual. And now once again, vide impugned order dated 5.3.2018 (Annexure P-3), his joining orders at Narnual have since been cancelled, and he has been required to report for duty at Faridabad. It is urged that the petitioner is, indeed, a victim of multiple transfers, which lack any administrative interest or exigency.

CWP No. 6485 of 2018

In response, learned State counsel submits that in the matter involving similar issues, as regards transfer of the employees of the Transport Department, Haryana, this Court, vide order and judgment dated 01.09.2017, rendered in **CWP No. 17899 of 2017 (Rajender Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others)** and other connected matters (Annexure P-6) as also the judgment dated 12.12.2017, in **CWP No. 24190 of 2017 (Dilbag Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others)** and other connected matters (Annexure P-7), had issued comprehensive directions to the department to deal with similar issues. Thus, he submits that the grievance/claim of the petitioner shall be considered in terms thereof, and respondents shall pass appropriate orders within four weeks from today.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be disposed of in the above terms.

Ordered accordingly.

15.3.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO