

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 6418 of 2018
Date of decision: 20.3.2018**

Pardeep Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Vidyut Parsaran Nigam and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. R.S. Kundu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Undoubtedly, services of the petitioner have since been brought to an end, on account of alleged misconduct. But, the fact remains that there was never any relationship of employer and employee between him and the respondents No.1 to 4, for he was an employee of the service provider, i.e. Shomuk Engineering & Consultancy Service (respondent No.5).

That being so, the petitioner, if aggrieved, is at liberty to raise an industrial dispute for redressal of his grievance or resort to measures as admissible in law.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms.

20.3.2018
AK Sharma

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO