

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 15.03.2018****CWP No.6398 of 2018**

Birbal & others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners pray for a similar order as passed by Brother Arun Palli, J. in CWP No.1306 of 2018 titled 'Karam Chand & others Vs. State of Haryana & others' on 16.02.2018. That order reads as follows:

“A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, directing the respondents to pay to the petitioners, who are working on daily wages as Pump Attendant, Petrol Man and Fitter Coolie, minimum of the pay scales, revised from time to time, with permissible allowances as are being paid to similarly placed regular employees. Reliance is placed upon a decision of the Supreme Court, rendered in Civil Appeal No.213 of 2013 (State of Punjab and others v. Jagjit Singh and others). The petitioners purport to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail. Even the representation dated 25.04.2017 (Annexure P3), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondent authority to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners, as also their representation dated 25.04.2017 (Annexure P3), within a period of 3 months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioners is

declined, the respondent authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.”

Consequently, the instant petition is disposed of in the same terms and with the same time line in decision making.

15.03.2018
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No