

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6383 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: MARCH 15, 2018

KRISHNA DEVI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

UHBVNL, PANCHKULA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Gurvinder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J

Through instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to count the work charge period in the department from 1980 to 1992 in the qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary benefits.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though legal notice dated 28.11.2017 (Annexure P-1) was duly served upon the respondents but till date no action has been taken by the respondent. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied, in case, direction is issued to respondents to decide aforesaid legal notice, within some prescribed period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the

instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice dated 28.07.2017 (Annexure P-1) and to decide the same particularly in view of judgment passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court captioned as “***Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam & others v. Bachan Singh***”, 2009(4) AIR 2745, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels dissatisfied against the order passed by the concerned authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

MARCH 15, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>