

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 6357 of 2018
Date of decision: 20.3.2018**

Vikas

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG., Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent. Copy supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement/counter-affidavit on behalf of the respondent is indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of TGT (Physical Education) in the EBPG Category. The results of the written examination were pronounced by the respondent on 20.4.2017. Subsequently, the Commission uploaded the answer key on its website, and, objections were invited from the candidates thereto. The petitioner purports to have submitted his objections, dated January 26, 2018 (Annexure P-13), online, for there was misprinting in question Nos. 44 and 45 of set D.

CWP No. 6357 of 2018

Post consideration of the objections preferred by the candidates, a revised answer key of set D was uploaded by the Commission. The petitioner alleged to have filed objections, dated 18.12.2017 (Annexure P-15), even to the revised answer key. But, the limited grievance that he has is: neither the objections (Annexure P-13), filed by the petitioner to the unrevised answer key nor to the revised answer key have since been considered or dealt with by the Commission. Rather, it is urged that in the given situation, the petitioner is entitled to grace marks, for misprinting of question Nos. 44 and 45, and for question Nos. 11 and 16 of set D. It is urged that process of selection is still in operation as even the schedule of interviews has not been finalised.

In response, learned counsel for the respondent submits that claim/grievance of the petitioner as also his objections, dated 26.1.2018 (Annexure P-13) and 18.12.2017 (Annexure P-15), shall be examined and the respondents shall pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law, before the interviews are held.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

20.3.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO