

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.635 of 2018

Date of Decision : 15.01.2018

Sidh Goyal

....Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr.Rajiv Kataria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

In this writ petition the petitioner has formulated the following
prayer for our consideration :-

“Civil Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the
Constitution of India for the issuance of writ of mandamus or
any other appropriate writ or direction to the effect that
respondents be directed to issue the necessary notification for
admission to MBBS in the college respondent no.4 w.e.f.
session 2018 by issuing necessary amends and the conditions
in the eligibility criteria for admission to MBBS 2018 and
onwards to give the proper and appropriate opportunity to the
residents/domicile of U.T.Chandigarh being the sons of soil for
the purpose of admission in the medical college in course of
MBBS being imparted by respondent no.4.”

It is conceded before us that at a prior point of time the clause
on the basis of domiciles restricting the claims of children who had passed
their +2 from Chandigarh was struck down by the court. It is contended that
subsequent thereto no admission policy has been framed by the official

respondents and admissions are being made by flouting the judgment of this Court.

No such material has been placed on record and neither has any process been questioned wherein violations may have taken place. The petitioner is a 10th class student and has yet to ripen for consideration to the medical course. There is nothing on record to suggest any prejudice to him at this point of time because of either issuance of a notification that affects him negatively or by non-existence of a notification/criteria for admission to medical course.

We, therefore, find the grievance raised by the petitioner to be imaginary and decline interference. Needless to say, if at any point of time the petitioner faces admission process for the said course and in the eventuality of there being any policy or clause thereof that prejudices his claim, he would have a right to pursue his remedy in law.

Petition dismissed.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

15.01.2018
dss

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No