

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.9000 of 2017(O&M)

Date of Decision:-13.08.2018

Rajesh Kumar.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. C.L. Premy, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mrs. Anju Sharma Kaushik, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

JASWANT SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner was appointed as Sewadar on 27.03.1998 against Handicapped Quota and promoted as Clerk in 2004 and thereafter further promoted from the post of Clerk to the the post of Junior Assistant on 02.03.2011 and was posted at Panchayat Samiti Andana at Moonak, District Sangrur.

Petitioner has laid challenge to the order dated 20.06.2013 (P-5) passed by respondent no.2-Director Rural Development & Panchayat Department pursuant to the orders dated 10.12.2012 (P-4) passed by this Court wrongly misinterpreting therein that 40th point reserved for Handicapped Employees will be filled at turn of Handicapped Employee and at that point of time of passing impugned order, no post of

Superintendent Grade-II was vacant in the Panchayat Samities of District Sangrur whereas cadre of Superintendent Grade-II is State Cadre and not District Cadre.

Upon notice, short reply by way of affidavit of Gurnet Singh, BDPO-cum-Executive Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Lehragaga, District Sangrur has been filed on behalf of the respondent nos.1 to 4 & 6 wherein it is stated that the present petition has become infructuous as the petitioner has been promoted from the post of Junior Assistant to the post of Superintendent Grade-II in the handicapped quota as per Government Policy.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition has become infructuous.

In view of the above, present writ petition is hereby dismissed as infructuous.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

August 13, 2018
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>