

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-6277-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-15.03.2018.

Mrs. Seema

.....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh through Registrar (General),
Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Viren Sibal, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, petitioner has prayed for a writ of *mandamus* seeking a direction to appoint her as Senior Scale Stenographer in the establishment of Punjab and Haryana High Court from the date the other selected candidates have been appointed pursuant to the advertisement dated 09.09.2013 (Annexure P-1).

(2.) The process of selection and appointment was over long back. For seeking writ of *mandamus*, petitioner has also not produced any material to show that she had demanded her grievance timely before the competent authority. Any person approaching seeking a writ of *mandamus*, she/he must establish her/his statutory right that she/he had demanded her/his grievance before the appropriate authority so as to entertain petition relating to writ of *mandamus*. No such demand is placed on record. That apart there is a delay and laches on the part of the petitioner having regard

to the fact that process of recruitment commenced in the year 2013 and it was over by issuing order of appointment to the selected candidates. Petitioner is not in a position to appraise this Court dates and events of recruitment and appointment except date of advertisement. Therefore, petition is to be rejected for want of materials like demand of grievance and so also delay and laches. Petitioner has not made out a case so as to seek writ of *mandamus*.

Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

March 15, 2018.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.