

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 6237 of 2018
Decided on : 14.03.2018**

Amrik Singh and others

. . . Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

PRESENT: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking direction to respondent No.1 to obtain the opinion from the learned Advocate General, Haryana, in view of the order dated 21.09.2016, passed by the then Chief Administration, in the case of the Grain Market of the present petitioner and also to allot the plots to the present petitioners under the oustees quota. A further prayer for direction to respondent No.3 to allot the plots in the New Grain market Gharanuna in view of the policies, rules and regulations as well as under the provisions of the Punjab Agriculture Produce Markets Act, 1961 and Rules 1961, has also been made.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that inadvertently certain relevant facts and documents could not be incorporated in the writ petition. Accordingly, a prayer has been made for withdrawal of writ petition with liberty to the petitioners to file a fresh one on the same cause of action by incorporating better and correct particulars.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

March 14, 2018
J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No