

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 6206 of 2018
Date of decision: 14.03.2018**

Naresh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Jitender Nara, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion, confined to respondents No.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on their behalf. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner was selected for Executive Clerical Cadre (Accounts Branch), in Gurgaon Range, Gurgaon, in the year 1993. Thereafter, pursuant to mutual transfers, he was sent to Rohtak Range. Even though, vide letter dated 30.9.2013, the DGP, Haryana, required the IGP, Rohtak Range, Rohtak, to communicate to the IGP, Rewari Range, Rewari,

CWP No. 6206 of 2018

-2-

to assign an appropriate position to the petitioner in the seniority list of Rewari Range, but neither the name of the petitioner is reflected in the seniority list of Rewari Range, nor in the Rohtak Range. Whereas, in terms of the letter issued by the IGP, Rohtak Range, Rohtak, in the year 2013, as also the seniority list of Executive Clerical Cadre (Accounts Branch), the petitioner was/is entitled to be considered for promotion in the Executive Clerical Cadre (Accounts Branch). The petitioner purports to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard, but to no avail. Even the representation dated 5.9.2017 (Annexure P-16), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

In response, learned State counsel submits that claim/grievance of the petitioner as also his representation, dated 5.9.2017 (Annexure P-16), shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents within a period of four weeks from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authorities shall pass a comprehensive order, assigning reasons in support thereof.

14.3.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO