

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6178 of 2018
Date of Decision: 26.04.2018

Dalbir Kaur & Anr.

...Petitioner

VS.

Bank of India & Anr.

... Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr.Justice Surya Kant
Hon'ble Mr.Justice Shekher Dhawan

Present: Mr. Sunil Garg, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Khushpreet Bains, Advocate for
Mr. GS Anand, Advocate for the Bank

SURYA KANT J. (Oral)

(1) The petitioner-borrowers have approached this Court challenging the auction notice of the secured assets. It was their case that overdue amount in their loan account was ₹ 1.5 lacs. They were directed to deposit ₹ 3 lacs as a condition to maintain *status quo re*: sale of mortgaged property. The petitioners have deposited the said amount and according to counsel for the respondent-Bank, their account has been regularized.

(2) Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, states that there is still a dispute with regard to the rate of interest.

(3) The writ petition is thus disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to make a representation to the Bank as regard to any such dispute which shall be considered sympathetically and decided by way of a reasoned order. If the petitioners are still aggrieved, they may approach the appropriate forum.

(Surya Kant)
Judge

26.04.2018
vishal shonkar

(Shekher Dhawan)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
2. Whether reportable?

Yes
No