

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6113 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.08.2018

Dinesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J.

The petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents to appoint him as Deputy Superintendent of Police in Haryana keeping in view the policy dated 06.01.2009 (Annexure P-4), which was further amended vide instructions dated 20.08.2013 (Annexure P-6) and notification dated 15.07.2014 (Annexure P-7).

Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that as per the above instructions (Annexures P-6 and P-7), the petitioner, who has won medals in national and international boxing events, should have been appointed as DSP in Haryana. Further, he has also been awarded 'Arjun Award' and 'Bhim Award' as is evident from Annexure P-5. Learned counsel further contends that similarly situated sports persons/candidates, namely Ms. Mamta Kharab, Ms. Geetika Jhakar, Akhil Kumar, Vikash Krishan, Jitender Kumar, Joginder Sharma, Paramjit Samota, Sonu Narwal etc., who have won medals, have already been given appointments to the posts of DSP. The petitioner has got more achievements in sports than the

above said persons. He has referred to the order dated 03.03.2016 passed by this Court in CWP No.4339 of 2016, titled as **Geeta Phogat vs. State of Haryana and others**, (Annexure P-9), whereby direction was issued to the respondents to take a decision on the legal notice dated 13.10.2015 of the petitioner (therein). Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the said order (Annexure P-9), Geeta Phogat has been appointed as Deputy Superintendent of Police. Similar directions have been given in **Sunita Singh Choken vs. State of Haryana and others**, CWP No.18670 of 2016, decided on 01.02.2018 (Annexure P-10). In that case, the petitioner had climbed the Mount Everest.

In the present case, with regard to his grievance, petitioner-Dinesh Kumar has served a legal notice dated 06.08.2017 (Annexure P-11) to the respondents, but no action has been taken thereon till date.

At this stage, present petition is disposed of by giving direction to the respondents to look into the legal notice dated 06.08.2017 (Annexure P-11) and pass appropriate speaking order in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

14.08.2018
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No