

124

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-6089-2018

Date of decision-13.03.2018

Rakesh Jain

...Petitioner

Vs.

Punjab State Cooperative Supply and Marketing
Federation Ltd. And another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.1 to hear and decide the statutory departmental appeal dated 22.06.2016 (Annexure P-3) filed against the the order dated 02.06.2016 (Annexure P-2) passed by respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1- Managing Director, Punjab State Cooperative Supply & Marketing Federation Ltd, MARKFED, Head Office, Plot No.4, Sector-35-B, Chandigarh to consider and decide the departmental appeal dated 22.06.2016 (Annexure P-3).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1-

Managing Director, Punjab State Cooperative Supply & Marketing Federation Ltd, MARKFED, Head Office, Plot No.4, Sector-35-B, Chandigarh to consider and decide the departmental appeal dated 22.06.2016 (Annexure P-3) expeditiously preferably within three months from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

During the pendency of the administrative appeal, the operation and effect of the order dated 02.06.2016 (Annexure P-2) shall remain stayed.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

13.03.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No