

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8762-2017

Date of Decision: November 14, 2018

Charanjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State Information Commission, Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. S.S. Swaich, Advocate and
Mr. G.S. Salana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 and 2.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Despite service, none has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.3.

On perusal of the order passed by the Chief Information Commissioner, Punjab, dated 29.09.2016 (Annexure P-5), vide which, petitioner has been imposed penalty of ₹ 25,000/-, which penalty according to the counsel for the petitioner has already been deducted from his salary and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner had not put forth any explanation before the State Information Commission, Punjab, upon a show cause notice having been served on him, this Court does not find any reason to interfere in the impugned order dated 29.09.2016 (Annexure P-5). However, it is clarified that the said order will not, in any way, be taken adversely so far as the service career of the petitioner is concerned.

The writ petition stands disposed of with above observations.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

November 14, 2018

Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No