

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 6063 of 2018
Date of decision: 13.03.2018**

Rajnish

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Devender Arya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner is employed as Conductor with the respondent-department, and is aggrieved by his multiple transfers in the recent past. He was transferred from Narnaul to Faridabad, pursuant to an order dated 6.9.2016. Whereafter, he was relieved, vide order dated 11.7.2017 (Annexure P-1), to join at Narnaul. But again, vide impugned order dated 5.3.2018 (Annexure P-2), he has been re-transferred to Faridabad. It is urged that the order of transfer of the petitioner is in breach of the transfer policy of the Government, and lacks any administrative interest.

CWP No. 6063 of 2018

In response, learned State counsel submits that in the matter involving similar issues, as regards transfer of the employees of the Transport Department, Haryana, this Court, vide order and judgment dated 01.09.2017, rendered in **CWP No. 17899 of 2017 (Rajender Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others)** and other connected matters (Annexure P-5) as also the judgment dated 12.12.2017, in **CWP No. 24190 of 2017 (Dilbag Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others)** and other connected matters (Annexure P-6), had issued comprehensive directions to the department to deal with similar issues. Thus, he submits that the grievance/claim of the petitioner shall be considered in terms thereof, and respondents shall pass appropriate orders within four weeks from today.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be disposed of in the above terms.

Ordered accordingly.

13.3.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO