

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.606 of 2018
Date of decision: 15.01.2018

Shalini Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sunny Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order, I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

Director of School Education Panchkula advertised 60 posts of Legal Assistant on contractual basis. Petitioner competed for selection being fully eligible therefor. The department published the list of applicants, who had applied to the posts in question, but against the name of the petitioner it was marked "qualification not fulfilled". Petitioner was informed vide an email on 01.01.2018 that her candidature was rejected, for she neither fulfilled the requisite qualification nor furnished the complete/correct information. Petitioner purports to have promptly responded to the said

email on the same day i.e. 01.01.2018, and clarified that she was fully eligible to participate in the selection process in terms of the conditions enumerated in the advertisement. But since then the department has not responded to the petitioner. So much so, the respondents were again served with another representation, through email on 04.01.2018 (Annexure P5), but that too has failed to evoke any response.

Learned State counsel submits that the grievance of the petitioner as also the representations, she purports to have made shall be considered and dealt with within a period of two days from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms. However, it is clarified that in the event, the petitioner is found eligible/suitable, and rather ought to have been called for interview, she be sent a due communication in this regard in time.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

15.01.2018
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO