

137

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-6036-2018**

**Date of decision-12.03.2018**

**Yadwinder Singh**

**...Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**State of Punjab and others**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. M.K.Dogra, Advocate for the petitioner.

**\*\*\***

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)**

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for compassionate appointment in the light of Government Notification dated 21.11.2002 (Annexure P-10) and Instructions dated 18.07.2005 (Annexure P-11) and appoint the petitioner to suitable post on compassionate basis.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Chief Engineer, Department of Irrigation (now department of water resource) Punjab, Sector-18, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 28.11.2016 (Annexure P-15) in the light of the policy dated 21.11.2002 (Annexure P-10).

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the

case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Chief Engineer, Department of Irrigation (now department of water resource) Punjab, Sector-18, Madhya Marg, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 28.11.2016 (Annexure P-15) in the light of the policy dated 21.11.2002 (Annexure P-10) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**12.03.2018**

vanita

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |