

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6029 of 2018

Date of Decision : 12.03.2018

Ram Karan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Mohit Rathee, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G. Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies furnished.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Heavy Vehicle Driver, pursuant to an advertisement dated 18.05.2017 (Annexure P-1), under the BCA category. He purports to have qualified the written examination as also the driving proficiency test. However, in the list of the short listed candidates, who were called for interview, his name and roll number was not depicted. It is urged, that ostensibly, the reason, for which the candidature of the petitioner appears to have been ignored; **that he did not possess the three years requisite driving experience.** For, the driving

licence was issued to the petitioner on 26.09.2013, whereas the experience certificate, appended with his application form revealed that he had acquired the driving experience w.e.f. 01.04.2008 to 31.03.2013, whereas he was required to possess the requisite experience after acquiring the valid driving licence. Thus, the petitioner alleged to have moved an application, to the Commission (respondent No.3), on 16.02.2018, itself vide diary No.1242, vide which, he even sought to furnish another experience certificate dated 20.03.2017 (Annexure P-9), issued by the Swami Dyanand Senior Secondary School, Sisana, District Sonapat, which shows that he even had the experience w.e.f. 2.11.2013 to 18.03.2017. It is submitted that even though the process of selection has been concluded and, even the final results have since been declared, but the appointments are yet to be made.

In response, learned State counsel submits that the claim/grievance of the petitioner shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents within a period of two weeks from today. And, appropriate orders in accordance with law shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly, disposed of, in the above terms. However, in the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondents-authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

12.03.2018

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No