

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.6022 of 2018
Date of decision : 13.03.2018**

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Financial Commissioner Appeals, Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. J. S. Grewal, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that order of status quo was passed in favour of the petitioner but subsequently said order has been vacated by learned Financial Commissioner at his back, whereas revision petition is pending for 24.05.2018. Learned counsel further submits that the purpose of filing the revision petition has been frustrated.

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner and by considering the fact that earlier the interim order was passed and later on it was vacated in spite of the fact that revision petition is still pending, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to learned Financial Commissioner to decide the revision petition finally. However, the operation of order passed in the application whereby interim order has been vacated, shall remain stayed and status quo be maintained during pendency of the revision petition.

13.03.2018

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No