

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 5957 of 2018
Date of decision: 12.3.2018**

Savitri Devi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was employed as part-time Sweeper by the respondent-department on 2.9.2002. Her case is; that in terms of the Government Policy, dated 11.11.2003 (Annexure P-3 colly), her services were required to be regularised w.e.f 1.10.2008, after completion of six years of continuous service. And, not just that, the respondents have rather regularised the services of one Makhan Lal w.e.f. 1.4.2011, who was appointed subsequent to the petitioner, i.e. on 18.10.2002. Thus, it is submitted that the respondents could not ignore the claim of the petitioner for regularisaion, at least, w.e.f. 1.4.2011, when services of the person junior to her were regularised. The petitioner purports to have even served the respondents with a legal notice, dated 16.1.2018 (Annexure P-5), but to no avail.

CWP No. 5957 of 2018

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to respondents to respondents No.1 to 3, to consider and decide the claim/grievance of the petitioner as also her legal notice, dated 16.1.2018 (Annexure P-5) within a period of three months from the receipt of a certified copy of this order. And, pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

12.3.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO