

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 5952 of 2018
Date of decision: 12.3.2018**

Prem Chand

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Ms. Sangita Dhanda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Additional A.G., Haryana, present in court,
accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written
statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed
necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the
parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Shift
Attendant, pursuant to an advertisement No. 4/2008, in the SC Category. He
qualified the written examination, and was even interviewed by the
respondents. However, he was placed at No.5 in the waiting list. And,
significantly, out of the six posts, that were reserved for the Ex-servicemen
(SC) candidates, only two were filled, and the rest four posts remained

CWP No. 5952 of 2018

-2-

vacant. Subsequently, two of the four vacant posts were filled from the category of the petitioner, pursuant to the orders passed by this Court (Annexure P-11). Meaning thereby, two posts in the Ex-servicemen (SC) Category are still vacant, and claim of the petitioner, being at No.5 in the waiting list, could always be considered against one such post. The petitioner purports to have even represented to the respondents, vide representation dated 1.1.2018 (Annexure P-13). But to no avail.

In response, learned State counsel submits that this petition itself shall be considered as a representation on behalf of the petitioner, and his claim/grievance shall be considered and dealt with within a period of eight weeks from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authorities shall pass a comprehensive order, assigning reasons in support thereof.

12.3.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO