

**CWP No.5933 of 2018
DECIDED ON: MARCH 12, 2018**

MANJIT KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide the legal notice dated December 15, 2017 (P-7).

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that for the grant of arrears of revised pension as well as the interest on the delayed pension, petitioner served a legal notice dated December 15, 2017 (P-7) upon the respondents. Despite the fact that a period of three months has elapsed, respondents neither responded the legal notice (P-7) nor any relief is granted to the petitioner claimed through it. He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to consider and decide the legal notice (P-7) within a time bound manner.

3. In view of above narrated facts but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice (P-7) in accordance with law, rules and regulations as well as instructions issued by the Government from time to time, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case petitioner still feels aggrieved, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available to her under law including to approach this Court.

MARCH 12, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>