

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5920 of 2018

Date of decision : 12.03.2018

Dr. Maheshwar Datt Sharda

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Amrik Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Petitioner is aggrieved as the competent authority under the Rules has not taken any action against respondents No.5 and 6 in spite of making representation and sending legal notice.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the representation of the petitioner as well as legal notice are still pending and no action has been taken. Respondent No.3 issued notice dated 15.01.2016 under Section 195 of the Punjab Municipal Act to respondent No.5 to stop the commercial activities being carried out. Similarly, a reminder was also sent but still no action has been taken. Petitioner would be satisfied in case the directions are issued by this Court to respondents No.3 and 4 to take action on legal notice dated 27.01.2018 (Annexure P-8).

Without commenting on the merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner as no

action has been taken on the legal notice, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.3 and 4 to consider legal notice dated 27.01.2018 (Annexure P-8) and take action in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

12.03.2018

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No