

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 5918 of 2018
Date of decision: 12.3.2018**

Anoop Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. V.P. Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was employed as S.S. Teacher with the respondent department, before he was appointed to the post of Post Graduate Teacher (PGT) in Sanskrit, through proper channel. The limited grievance that he has is; that his pay is required to be fixed, after taking into consideration his previous service, in the department, and his last drawn pay is required to be protected. He purports to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail. Even the legal notice, dated 23.8.2017 (Annexure P-9), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim/grievance of the petitioner as also his legal notice, dated 23.8.2017 (Annexure P-9),

CWP No. 5918 of 2018

-2-

within a period of three months from the receipt of a certified copy of this order. And, pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

12.3.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO