

124

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5917-2018

Date of decision-12.03.2018

Parveen Kumar Arora

...Petitioner

Vs.

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. And others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Saravpreet S. Gurna, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent Corporation to re-work the arrears of pay payable to the petitioner in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP-27463-2013 (Annexure P-6).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied if the instant writ petition is treated as representation and direction is issued to respondent No.1-Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd, The Mall, Patiala to consider and decide the same in the light of Annexure P-12.

Heard.

In the circumstances, the petitioner is directed to furnish a copy of the writ petition along with certified copy of the order passed within two weeks from today. Thereafter, respondent No.1-Chairman-cum-Managing

Director, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd, The Mall, Patiala is directed to treat the copy of present writ petition as representation and decide the same in the light of Annexure P-12 by passing a speaking order within a period of six weeks from the receipt of certified copy of the order. In case, the competitive authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not made out, then a speaking order be passed in this regard.

Disposed of.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

12.03.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No