

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5887 of 2018

Date of Decision : 09.03.2018

Padam Chand Arya

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.D.S.Matya, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies furnished.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being finally disposed of.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Grid Sub Station Operator, under the EBPG category. He purports to have cleared the written examination and was even called for scrutiny of documents. However, in the list of candidates, who were called for interview, that is schedule to take place on 10.03.2018, his name and roll number is not depicted. It is urged, that the last candidate, in the category of the

petitioner, who has been called for interview had obtained 80 marks, whereas as per the self assessed OMR sheet and the answer-key uploaded by the Commission on its website, the petitioner had obtained 90 marks, but, still, for some intangible reason his claim is being ignored. It is submitted that the petitioner has even represented to the respondents, in this regard, vide representation dated 26.02.2018 (Annexure P-6). But to no avail. And, in the event, he is not permitted to participate in the interview, he shall suffer an incalculable loss.

In response, learned State counsel submits that the claim/grievance of the petitioner, as also his representation dated 26.02.2018 (Annexure P-6), shall be considered and dealt with today itself. And appropriate orders in accordance with law shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner as also to his counsel.

The petition is accordingly, disposed of, in the above terms. However, in the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondents-authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof. On the contrary, if the petitioner is found suitable and, rather, ought to have been called for interview, he shall be intimated in time to enable him to participate in interview process.

A copy of this order be given dasti under the signature of Bench Secretary of this Court.

09.03.2018

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No