

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 5864 of 2018
Date of decision: 12.3.2018**

Sita Ram

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Ankit Gautam, Advocate, for
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for a direction to the respondents to release his leave encashment as also the arrears, as admissible under the rules, for, he was entitled to 75% of salary/pay during the suspension period from 14.12.2005 to 4.7.2017, whereas he was only disbursed 50% of the pay during the said period. He purports to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard, but to no avail. Even the representations, dated 18.10.2016 (Annexure P-2), 18.10.2016 (Annexure P-3) and 2.8.2017 (Annexure P-4), the respondents have been served with, have failed to evoke any response.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim/grievance of

CWP No. 5864 of 2018

the petitioner as also his representations, dated 18.10.2016 (Annexure P-2), 18.10.2016 (Annexure P-3) and 2.8.2017 (Annexure P-4), within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of a certified copy of this order. And, pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

12.3.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO