

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-5833-2018

Date of decision-26.04.2018

Kuldip Singh and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Rajesh Punj, Advocate for the petitioners.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the benefit of Employees Provident Fund and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 and to pay the arrears.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.5-Director General of Police-cum-Commandant General Home Guards and Director Civil Defence, Punjab, Sector-17, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 20.12.2017 (Annexure P-20).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5-Director General of Police-cum-Commandant General Home Guards and Director Civil Defence, Punjab, Sector-17, Chandigarh to consider and

decide the legal notice dated 20.12.2017 (Annexure P-20) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible to them or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

26.04.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No