

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 5809 of 2018
Date of decision: 09.3.2018**

Sandeep Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Jaspal Singh Maanipur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG. Haryana, present in court,
accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written
statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed
necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the
parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Heavy
Vehicle Driver, under the SC Category. He purports to have qualified the
written examination as also the driving proficiency test. However, he was
not called for interview, that was held w.e.f. 25.2.2018 to 1.3.2018. The
limited grievance that he has is; that the final results, that were

CWP No. 5809 of 2018

declared on 3.3.2018, reveal that the last candidate, in the category of the petitioner, who was called for interview, and has since been selected, had obtained 91 marks. Whereas, as per his self assessed OMR sheet and the answer key uploaded by the Commission on its website, the petitioner had obtained 92 marks, but yet, for some intangible reason, his claim was ignored. He purports to have even represented to the respondents in this regard, vide representation dated 5.3.2018 (Annexure P-8). But to no avail.

In response, learned State counsel submits that claim/grievance of the petitioner as also his representation, dated 5.3.2018 (Annexure P-8), shall be considered and decided within a period of two weeks from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed, and communicated to the petitioner.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authorities shall pass a comprehensive order, assigning reasons in support thereof.

09.3.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO