

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5782 of 2018

Date of Decision : 13.03.2018

Poonam Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 and Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G. Haryana, for respondents No.3 and 4. Copies furnished.

In the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statement(s)/counter affidavit(s), on behalf of the respondents, is indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of learned counsel for the parties the petition is disposed of finally.

The petitioner was employed as Sweeper by the Market committee, Jui, Bhiwani, on 2.9.2013, on D.C. rates against a sanctioned vacant post. Even though, she had continued to serve the respondents without any break, but it is apprehended that her services shall be dispensed with by the respondents, resorting to another contractual arrangement. Not just that,

she has not even been paid salary for the months of July 2014 to September 2014, October 2017 to December 2017, and for January and February 2018.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submit that the claim/grievance of the petitioner shall be looked into. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed. Further, till such orders are passed, service of the petitioner shall not be dispensed with by resorting to another contractual arrangement.

The petition is accordingly, disposed of, in the above terms. However, in the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondents-authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

13.03.2018

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No