

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5767 of 2018

Date of Decision : 09.03.2018

Ram Pal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Sandeep Goyat, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was employed as Beldar on daily wages by the respondents-department in February, 1989. His services were regularized, pursuant to an order dated 21.10.2014 (Annexure P-6), w.e.f. 7.7.2014. The limited grievance that he has is: that his claim for regularization was squarely covered by a Government policy dated 30.09.2003, pursuant whereeto, he was required to be regularized w.e.f. 01.10.2003, rather than w.e.f. 7.7.2014. It is urged that even the Executive Engineer, Provincial Division, PWD (B&R), Branch Hansi, vide letter dated 3.10.2012, to the Superintending Engineer, Hisar Circle, PWD (B&R), Branch, Hisar (Annexure P-3), had recommended his case to be considered, in terms of the Government policy dated 30.09.2003. Not just that many persons/employees, who were appointed, much later than the petitioner, as depicted in para 11 of the petition, have since been regularized w.e.f. 1996 and 2003. The petitioner purports to have even served the respondents with

a legal notice dated 23.05.2015 (Anneuxre P-8), but to no avail.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as also legal notice dated 23.05.2015 (Annexure P-8), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondents-authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

09.03.2018

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No