

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-4925-2010 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-16.01.2018.

Sarla Devi

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Jindal, Advocate for
Mr. Sajay Mittal, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Rohit Arya, AAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

On 4.07.2016, following order was passed:-

*“Matter pertains to regularization of the appellant's service. It is stated that she was appointed as daily wager and she is entitled for regularization with reference to Government orders. Learned State counsel submitted that in view of Judgment titled as **Secretary, State of Karnataka and others versus Umadevi and others** (2006) 4SCC 1, the appellant is not entitled for regularization. However, no decision has been taken with reference to appellant's service particulars. After the judgment of the Uma Devi's case (supra), State has formulated certain circulars/orders for the purpose of regularization of daily wagers in the year 2014.*

The respondent-State is directed to take a decision whether the appellant is entitled for regularization under 2014 instructions/orders before the next date of hearing.

List this matter on 29.09.2016.”

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner (Excise), Narnaul passed the order on 30.03.2017. Learned counsel for the respondents is hereby directed to furnish a copy of the aforesaid order to learned counsel for the petitioner. In view of the aforesaid decision of the authority, present appeal do not survive for consideration.

Accordingly, appeal stands disposed of. Reserving liberty to the appellant to file a separate petition in challenging the order dated 30.03.2017.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

January 16, 2018.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.