

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 5665 of 2018
Date of decision: 09.3.2018**

Kamal Kant and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Jitender S. Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG. Haryana, present in court,
accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written
statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed
necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the
parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioners pray for a direction to the respondents to award
them wages, pursuant to the prevailing D.C. rates for the years 2014-15 and
2015-16, for the period 1.6.2014 to 31.8.2016, and the arrears in this regard
be released with interest. Further, they be also granted benefit of minimum
of the pay scale, admissible to the post of Assistant Lineman and Lower
Division Clerk, in terms of the decisions of this Court, dated 13.5.2016,

CWP No. 5665 of 2018

rendered in CWP No. 22428 of 2014 (Rajesh Kumar and others Vs. State of Haryana and others), and other connected matters, [Annexure P-10], 13.1.2017 in CWP No. 7086 of 2015 (Kuldeep Singh and others Vs. Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others) and other connected matters [Annexure P-12] and 10.8.2017 in CWP No. 25997 of 2016 (Mahavir Singh and others Vs. State of Haryana and others) and other connected matters, [Annexure P-13]. It is urged that even though the respondents were served with a legal notice, dated 28.8.2017 (Annexure P-11), in this regard, but to no avail.

In response, learned State counsel submits that claim/grievance of the petitioners as also their legal notice, dated 28.8.2017 (Annexure P-11), shall be considered and decided within a period of three months from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, claim of the petitioners is declined, the respondent authorities shall pass a comprehensive order, assigning reasons in support thereof.

09.3.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO