

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5565 of 2018

Date of Decision : 08.03.2018

Daya Nand and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Ms. Bhavna Grewal, Advocate for
Mr. S.K.Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Contends, that the matter in issue is squarely covered by an order and judgment dated 15.05.2013, rendered by this Court in CWP No.11254 of 2010 (Neelam Rani Vs. The State of Haryana and others), and therefore, the petitioners too are entitled to the same relief, and let the petition be disposed of in the same terms.

Notice of motion, confined to respondents No.1 and 2 only at this stage.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G. Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copy furnished.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by learned State counsel, who rather fairly submits that the matter is required to be disposed of, in terms of the decision of this Court, referred to above. However, he submits that the petitioners shall only be entitled to the arrears

for a period of 38 months, as ordered by this Court in the subsequent orders/judgment, post Neelam Rani's case.

To this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners give up their claims as regards arrears beyond a period of 38 months, preceding the filing of this petition.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of, in terms of the decision in Neelam Rani (supra). And, they shall be entitled to arrears of pay only for a period of 38 months, preceding the date of filing of this petition.

08.03.2018

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No