

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5547 of 2018

Date of decision : 07.03.2018

Ajay Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Rajnish K. Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have approached this Court by way of filing the present writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the proceedings of lease dated 02.06.2017 (Annexure P-3/T), whereby a pond (Johar) measuring 17 acres has been given in auction for a period of eight years at a price of ₹32,50,000/- to respondent No.6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a representation was made to the D.D.P.O. which is annexed as Annexure P-4/T with the petition but no action has been taken.

Heard arguments of learned counsel for the petitioners and have also perused the documents on file.

No doubt the representation was filed by the petitioners and the

same is still pending. However, the petitioners are having remedy under Section 47 read with Section 53 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994. The resolution, if any, passed by the Gram Panchayat or its action in relation to welfare activities of the village, are subject to scrutiny of various authorities under the Act. The petitioners have already made complaint to the concerned authority and no action has been taken so far.

Accordingly, respondent No.3 is directed to take action on the pending representation by treating it as complaint under Section 47 of the Act and take action in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

07.03.2018

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No