

120

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5542-2018

Date of decision-08.03.2018

Satnam Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to decide the appeal of the petitioner dated 21.03.2013 (Annexure P-7) preferred against the order dated 16.11.2012 vide which punishment of stoppage of one annual increment with cumulative effect has been imposed upon the petitioner.

Contents that the appeal of the petitioner is against the stoppage of one annual increment which is pending before respondent No.1.

The sole prayer is for early disposal of the adjudication of the appeal.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the incident pertains to year 2006, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1-Secretary, Department of Education, Main Civil Secretariat, Punjab, Chandigarh to

consider the fact wherein similarly placed person, namely, Narinder Kumar was exonerated of the same charge and decide the appeal of the petitioner dated 21.03.2013 (Annexure P-7) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

08.03.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No