

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 822 of 2017

Date of Decision : 26.11.2018

Janab Noorul Hassan and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Punjab Wakf Board and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Arvind Galav, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. G.N. Malik, Advocate for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for respondents No. 1 and 2 contends that except leave encashment, all the retiral benefits have been released to the petitioners during the pendency of the writ petition. He states that in respect of the leave encashment the Board will decide for which he should be given four weeks' time.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the retiral benefits have been paid after unexplained delay and he will be satisfied in case a direction is given to the respondents to decide in respect of grant of interest on the delayed payment in the next board meeting.

Keeping in view the law laid down by this Court in the case of ***J.S. Cheema Vs. State of Haryana and others***, 2014(13) RCR(Civil) 355, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents that the claim of the petitioners with regard to the leave encashment and the

grant of interest should be decided by the respondent-Board within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case after the decision, it is found that the petitioners are entitled for any monetary benefits, the same should also be released in their favour within a period of next three months.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 26, 2018
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*