

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 08.03.2018

CWP No.5518 of 2018

Vinay Kumar & another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate, for the petitioners.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

1. Heard Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, for the petitioners and Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana for the State. She represents as a law officer.

2. After order was passed on 06.02.2018 in a bunch of cases with lead case bearing CWP No.400 of 2018, another bunch of cases is pending represented with header case bearing CWP No.26777 of 2017 was placed before me per request from the co-ordinate Bench of Brother Arun Palli, J. in which an opportunity was granted to those candidates, who were not before me in the earlier bunch of cases on my roster, to deposit ₹6000/- with the respondent - Commission for them to view their video clips on 09/10.03.2018 as directed vide order dated 01.03.2018 and respond accordingly.

3. In the light of the above, on instructions from the department, Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal AAG Haryana states that the exact number of candidates who have responded to the orders dated 06.02.2018 and 01.03.2018 and who have deposited the requisite expenses/viewing fee as

directed by this Court, are known to the Commission and till their objections are not considered and decided as per responses filed, State agrees and undertakes that posts/advertised vacancies equal in number to the objectors who have paid money will not be filled till the exercise is over in all respects and result declared. This is an equitable undertaking which deserves to be recorded as part of the order to be passed to conclude this case for a just and appropriate disposal of the matter.

4. This arrangement, the petitioners represented by Mr. Nehra, allays the fear of the two co-petitioners who have deposited the requisite expense; viewed their clips and have filed objections before the authorities.

5. Accordingly and as above recording, it is held appropriate that while disposing of this case, a direction is issued that those pending objections will be decided as per the factual position obtaining on administrative record and within the time frame granted by this Court vide order dated 06.02.2018, i.e., up to 11.03.2018 and the decision taken be conveyed to the petitioners thereafter without delay. If adverse orders are proposed to be passed meaningful hearing and reasons recorded in writing would be necessary for survival of the orders to be passed.

6. With these observations and directions, the instant petition stands disposed of.

08.03.2018
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*

Whether Reportable: *No*