

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 5508 of 2018
Date of decision: 07.3.2018**

Devinder Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG. Haryana, present in court,
accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written
statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed
necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of learned counsel for the
parties, the petition is being finally disposed of.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Clerk under
the Outstanding Sports Person (OSP) Category. He purports to have
qualified the written examination, and was even called for scrutiny of
documents and interview. It was, at that stage, the respondents detected that
he had not appended the gradation certificate with his application form.
Concededly, the petitioner failed to produce the same even at the time of
interview, that was held on 10.10.2017. However, the petitioner obtained the

CWP No. 5508 of 2018

said certificate on 26.10.2017 (Annexure P-6), issued by the Department of Sports & Youth Affairs, Haryana. It is urged that the results have not yet been pronounced by the Commission, and, rather, a few of the candidates, who could not appear on the date specified for interview, have since been called for scrutiny of documents and interview, for 9.3.2018. Thus, it is urged that the representation, dated 30.10.2017 (Annexure P-7), the respondents have been served with, could also be considered.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submits that if any such representation, as indicated above, is indeed pending before the Commission, the same shall be considered and dealt with by 8.3.2018. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed, and communicated to the petitioner.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

07.3.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO