

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 5411 of 2018
Date of decision: 15.3.2018**

Udayvir Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Ms. Samridhi, Advocate, for
Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was appointed as JBT Teacher, pursuant to a letter of appointment dated 30.3.1986, though right from the inception, he continued to discharge his duty as S.S. Master. Pursuant to an order dated 24.10.2016 (Annexure P-6), the authorities accepted his claim, and granted approval to his promotion to the post of S.S. Master, from the date of his joining on the sanctioned post in the respondent-School. The limited grievance that he has is; although he is entitled to re-designation/promotion as S.S. Master w.e.f. 30.3.1986, i.e. the date he joined against a sanctioned post, but the school authorities were misconstruing the order dated 24.10.2016 (Annexure P-6), to propound that the petitioner has been granted the benefit prospectively, i.e. from the date of the order dated 24.10.2016. The petitioner purports to have served the respondents with a legal notice dated 23.3.2017 (Annexure P-8). But to no avail.

CWP No. 5411 of 2018

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim/grievance of the petitioner, as also his legal notice dated 23.3.2017 (Annexure P-8) within a period of three months from the receipt of a certified copy of this order. And, pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order, assigning reasons in support thereof.

15.3.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO