

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5383 of 2018

Date of Decision : 07.03.2018

Mohan Lal and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT**

Present: Mr.S.S.Dinarpur, Advocate with
Mr. Apoorv Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MAHESH GROVER, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioners is that at the time of filing of the revision petition the court of competent jurisdiction protected the possession of the petitioners but subsequently it vacated the restraint order without assigning any reason even though the revision petition is still pending.

On due consideration of the matter, we are of the opinion that this petition can be disposed of at this stage without issuing notice of motion to the other side, on account of the order that we intend to pass. Since the petitioners' possession was protected once by an order, its benefit ought not to have been withdrawn without assigning any reason.

Consequently, while setting aside the impugned order, we direct that there would be a status quo order on the possession of the petitioners during the subsistence of the revision petition which is directed to be disposed of as expeditiously as possible but not later

than eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Disposed of.

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE

07.03.2018
dss

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No