

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 5373 of 2018
Date of decision: 06.03.2018**

Vinod Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Baldev Singh Badhran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Additional A.G. Haryana, present in court,
accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written
statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed
necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is
being finally disposed of.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Heavy
Vehicle Driver under the Economically Backward Person in General
Category (EBPGC). He purports to have qualified the written examination,
as also the driving proficiency test. It is urged that as per self assessed OMR
sheet and the answer key uploaded by the Commission on its website, the
petitioner had obtained 78 marks, whereas, the candidate in his category,
who had secured merely 56 marks, had since been called for interview. It is
submitted that although the interview has already been conducted, but for
some intangible reasons, the candidature of the petitioner was ignored.

CWP No. 5373 of 2018

In response, learned State counsel submits that the claim/grievance of the petitioner shall be considered and dealt with. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed within a period of three weeks from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. However, in the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

6.3.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO